MNRAS 000, 1–12 (2016) Preprint 7 October 2016 Compiled using MNRAS LATEX style file v3.0

Zooming into local active galactic nuclei: The power of combining SDSS-IV MaNGA with higher resolution integral field unit observations

Dominika Wylezalek[1⋆], Allan Schnorr Müller[2], Nadia L. Zakamska[3,1], Thaisa Storchi-Bergmann[2,4], Jenny E. Greene[5], Michael Kelly[1], Guilin Liu[6], David R. Law[7], Jorge K. Barrera-Ballesteros[1], Rogemar A. Riffel[8,4]

[1]*Department of Physics & Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, Bloomberg Center, 3400 N. Charles St., Baltimore, MD 21218, USA*
[2]*Departamento de Astronomia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, IF, CP 15051, 91501-970 Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil*
[3]*Deborah Lunder and Alan Ezekowitz Founders' Circle Member, Institute for Advanced Study, Einstein Dr., Princeton, NJ 08540, USA*
[4]*Laboratório Interinstitucional de e-Astronomia - LIneA, Rua Gal. José Cristino 77, Rio de Janeiro, RJ - 20921-400, Brazil*
[5]*Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA*
[6]*CAS Key Laboratory for Research in Galaxies and Cosmology, Department of Astronomy, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*
[7]*Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA*
[8]*Departamento de Física, CCNE, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Av. Roraima, 1000 - 97105-900, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil*

arXiv:1610.01602v1 [astro-ph.GA] 5 Oct 2016

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

Ionized gas outflows driven by active galactic nuclei (AGN) are ubiquitous in high luminosity AGN with outflow speeds related to the total bolometric luminosity of the AGN. This relation suggests a threshold luminosity of $\sim 10^{43-45}$ erg/s above which the AGN becomes powerful enough to launch winds that will be able to escape the galaxy potential. In this paper, we present pilot observations of two AGN in this transitional range that were taken with the Gemini North Multi-Object Spectrograph Integral Field Unit (IFU). Both sources have also previously been observed within the Sloan Digital Sky Survey-IV (SDSS) Mapping Nearby Galaxies at Apache Point Observatory (MaNGA) survey. While the MaNGA IFU maps probe the gas fields on galaxy-wide scales and show that some regions are dominated by AGN ionization, the new Gemini IFU data zoom into the center with four times better spatial resolution. In the lower-$L_{\rm bol}$ we find evidence of a young or stalled biconical AGN-driven outflow where none was obvious at the MaNGA resolution. In the higher-$L_{\rm bol}$ we trace the large-scale biconical outflow into the nuclear region and connect the outflow from small to large scales. These observations suggest that AGN luminosity and galaxy potential are crucial in shaping wind launching and propagation in low-luminosity AGN. The transition from small and young outflows to galaxy-wide feedback can only be understood by combining large scale IFU data with higher resolution, small scale IFU maps.

Key words: galaxies: active – galaxies: seyfert – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics – techniques: spectroscopic

1 INTRODUCTION

The discovery of the tight relationship between black hole (BH) masses and the velocity dispersions and masses of their host bulges has shown that the active quasar phase of BH evolution has profound effects on galaxy evolution and that the enormous power of radiation and outflows from the BH and its accretion disk may be critical in limiting the maximum mass of galaxies in the universe (Ferrarese & Ford 2005; Somerville et al. 2008; Kormendy & Ho 2013). However, constraining the power and reach of feedback processes exerted by luminous accreting BHs onto their hosts is still a

⋆ E-mail: dwylezalek@jhu.edu

© 2016 The Authors

field of active research in both simulations and observations (e.g. Brusa et al. 2015; Dugan 2016; Wylezalek & Zakamska 2016; Zakamska et al. 2016)

In low-luminosity active galactic nuclei (AGN, $L_{\rm bol} < 10^{43}$ erg/s), gas emission due to AGN photo-ionization may extend beyond several hundred parsecs, but the AGN-driven outflows are confined to the inner 50-200 pc (Barbosa et al. 2006, 2009; Storchi-Bergmann et al. 2009; Riffel & Storchi-Bergmann 2011; Veilleux et al. 2013; Lena et al. 2015). These flows carry only $< 0.1\%\ L_{\rm bol}$ worth of power and rarely affect their large-scale environment. In contrast, extremely luminous quasars ($L_{\rm bol} > 10^{45}$ erg/s) often show signatures of high velocity, galaxy-wide outflows. Theoretical studies attribute this transition to the inertia of the interstellar medium: at a given galactic potential, an outflow driven by a low-luminosity AGN is not sufficiently powerful to accelerate the gas, so the gas inertia 'quenches' the outflow before it can extend over galaxy scales (Zubovas & King 2012).

Over the next several years, we endeavor to probe this transition using data from the Mapping Nearby Galaxies at Apache Point Observatory (MaNGA; Bundy et al. 2015) survey. As part of Sloan Digital Sky Survey-IV (SDSS-IV, Blanton 2017), MaNGA is an integral field unit (IFU) survey targeting a statistically representative sample of 10,000 nearby ($0.01 < z < 0.15$) galaxies over the course of six years (survey start: July 2014) and is designed to explore the spatial dimension of galaxy evolution. The IFUs are made by grouping 19 to 127 fibers into hexagonal bundles, the number of fibers depending on the angular size of the galaxy. MaNGA uses the SDSS-III BOSS spectrograph (Gunn et al. 2006; Smee et al. 2013; Drory et al. 2015) and covers the wavelength range $3600 - 10{,}000$ with a velocity resolution of ~ 60 km/s. The spatial resolution is about $1-2$ kpc and most galaxies will be characterized out to 1.5 effective radii (R_e), a third of the galaxy sample even out to 2.5 R_e (Law et al. 2015; Yan et al. 2016).

The main MaNGA sample will contain some AGN (~ 300 expected) but they span a very limited dynamical range in AGN luminosity typically reaching $L_{\rm bol} \sim 10^{43}$ erg/s. Therefore, in addition to the main MaNGA sample, a dedicated MaNGA-AGN program (PI: J.E.Greene) was awarded 120 MaNGA-IFU observations. These AGN cover a wide range of bolometric luminosities reaching $L_{\rm bol} \sim 10^{45}$ erg/s.

A key limitation of MaNGA is the large size of the fibers, $2''$ aperture ($2.5''$ separation between fiber centers), which at $z \sim 0.05$ corresponds to ~ 2 kpc, although with dithering the effective sampling improves to $1.4''$. The wide-field coverage of MaNGA allows the mapping of global properties of the galaxies, but will not sample gas flows within the inner kiloparsec (kpc). Both models (Hopkins 2012) and observations to date demonstrate that at the luminosities of $10^{43} < L_{\rm bol} < 10^{45}$ erg/s the key AGN feeding and feedback processes occur within the inner kpc (Barbosa et al. 2014; Lena et al. 2015). Existing observations of AGN-driven winds in low-luminosity AGN where we expect the transition from circumnuclear to galaxy-wide outflows have proceeded largely on an object-by-object basis, but establishing a comprehensive observational foundation of AGN feedback is only possible by surveying a large sample of AGN covering a wide parameter space. The MaNGA AGN sample is ideal to achieve these goals.

In this paper, we present pilot IFU observations using the Gemini-North Multi-Object Spectrograph (GMOS-N) of two sources that have already been observed with MaNGA (before Oct 2015). At about 4 times better resolution, the goal of this paper is to map gas flows on scales < 1 kpc and to demonstrate the power of combining IFU data at different spatial scales and resolutions when exploring the launching and feeding mechanisms of low-luminosity AGN.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the target selection and both the MaNGA and GMOS observations. In Section 3 we present the analysis of the GMOS data, Section 4 explores the origin of the central gas flows and the added value of the GMOS data and in Section 5 we conclude. Throughout the paper we assume $H_0 = 71$ km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}, $\Omega_m = 0.27, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$.

2 SAMPLES, OBSERVATIONS AND MEASUREMENTS

2.1 Target Selection

The GMOS observations were originally designed as pilot observations to explore the combination of large-scale MaNGA IFU data with smaller-scale, higher resolution GMOS observations. Only five bona-fide AGN from the ancillary MaNGA-AGN program had been observed at the time of the target selection. These ancillary AGN typically belong to the most luminous among all observed MaNGA-AGN. We therefore compiled a catalog of weak AGN candidates in the main MaNGA sample. Selection of weak AGN in IFU data sets is a non-trivial task as we discuss in detail in an upcoming paper (Wylezalek 2017) and in Section 4.1. We note that optical diagnostic diagrams are a crucial tool for this task.

Diagnostic diagrams are constructed using a set of nebular emission lines and emission line ratios and can be used to distinguish between different ionization mechanisms of nebular gas. The most commonly used ones are the BPT diagrams (Baldwin et al. 1981; Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987) using [NII]6584/Hα versus [OIII]5007/Hβ (BPT-[NII] diagram), [SII]6717,6731/Hα versus [OIII]5007/Hβ (BPT-SII) and [OI]6300/Hα versus [OIII]5007/Hβ (BPT-OI). A major advantage of the BPT diagnostic diagrams is that the required emission lines are relatively close in wavelength space such that usually all of them can be observed in one optical spectrum. Depending on ionization models several dividing lines have been developed such that the diagrams can be used to distinguish between different ionization mechanisms such as star formation, AGN or shocks. In this work, we use the dividing lines developed by Kewley et al. (2001) and Kauffmann et al. (2003) and summarized in Kewley et al. (2006). Specifically, the BPT-[SII] allows to distinguish between star-formation, AGN or 'low ionisation nuclear emission line regions' (LINER, Heckman 1980) dominated emission line regions while the BPT-[NII] diagram allows to distinguish between star-formation, AGN+LINER, or composite dominated emission line regions. LINER spectra show strong low ionization emission lines and characteristic line ratios which makes them easily identifiable in the [SII]-BPT diagram but not in the [NII]-BPT. LINER-like emission line ratios can be produced in weak AGN and shocks, or in inactive galaxies due to photo-ionizing photons from hot evolved

Table 1. Source Information

Object Name	MaNGA ID	R.A.	Dec.	z	MaNGA plate-ID	MaNGA IFU-ID
Blob Source	1-166919	146.70910	43.423843	0.0722	8549	3702
Cone Source	1-137883	137.87476	45.468320	0.0268	8249	3704

stars (see e.g. Ho 2008; Eracleous et al. 2010; Singh et al. 2013; Belfiore et al. 2016, and references therein).

For the target selection for this work, we use a less conservative method and use the resolved [NII] BPT diagram to identify regions that show highly ionized gas (AGN and/or LINER-like emission, see red regions in the right panels in Figure 1). We then visually inspect the morphology of these regions while simultaneously comparing with the morphology of high velocity dispersion ionized gas signatures traced by [OIII]. The final target selection was driven by trying to maximize both the range of bolometric luminosities as estimated from the [OIII] line (Reyes et al. 2008) and morphologies of ionized gas regions. The basic target information are listed in Table 1.

The first source, MaNGA 1-166919, was chosen from the main MaNGA galaxy sample. It was selected as an AGN candidate based on its resolved [NII] BPT diagram which reveals a blob-like morphology of AGN/LINER-dominated emission with a radius of about 2 arcsec (~ 2.7 kpc). This region is spatially coincident with a circular region of similar extent of high velocity dispersion ($\sigma([\mathrm{OIII}]) > 200$ km/s) [OIII] emission (upper row in Figure 1). Although originally not classified as an AGN in the SDSS classification based on the single-fibre spectrum, the spatially resolved MaNGA observations of MaNGA 1-166919 clearly show that a strongly ionizing source is residing in this galaxy. We hereafter dub the target the 'Blob Source'. The galaxy stellar disk is observed close to face-on and its redshift is $z = 0.0722$.

From the sample of ancillary MaNGA AGN, we chose the only AGN identified by X-ray observations with the Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) aboard the *Swift* satellite. This object, MaNGA 1-137883, at $z = 0.0268$, shows an intriguing cone-shaped morphology of high velocity [OIII] gas in MaNGA, suggestive of bi-polar outflows perpendicular to the plane of the stellar disk of the galaxy. This cone-shaped region coincides with AGN/LINER-like emission according to the [NII] resolved BPT diagram (lower row in Figure 1). In the following we dub this source as 'Cone Source'.

The MaNGA fibre-bundle for both galaxies consists of 37 fibers with a diameter of 7 fibers, resulting in a bundle diameter of 17.5 arcsec (~ 9 kpc for the Cone Source, ~ 24 kpc for the Blob Source). The smallest spatial scale corresponding to the size of a single fiber in MaNGA is therefore 2.5 arcsec (~ 1.3 kpc for the Cone Source, ~ 3.4 kpc for the Blob Source). The reconstructed FWHM of the Blob and Cone Source are 2.8 and 2.4″ respectively. The MaNGA Data Reduction Pipeline (DRP, Law 2016) and Data Analysis Pipeline (DAP, Westfall 2017) perform data reduction and output science-ready data cubes which provide refined data such as specific emission line fluxes and kinematics for each object surveyed. We use line and kinematic measurements from the DAP fourth internal MaNGA Product Launch (MPL-4) when exploring the MaNGA observations for the two objects presented in this work.

2.2 GMOS observational setup

The two objects were observed on December 2015 with the GMOS-IFU on Gemini-North (program ID: GN-2015B-FT-16, PI: D. Wylezalek). Both objects were observed in one-slit mode where the field-of-view is 3.5″×5″, corresponding to a physical scale of $\sim 1.9 \times 2.7$ kpc for the Cone Source and $\sim 4.7 \times 6.8$ kpc for the Blob Source, for a total exposure time of 1 hour, respectively. We used the B600-G5307 grating covering rest-frame wavelengths of $\sim 4000 - 7000$. This wavelength range covers most of major optical emission lines including [OIII]4363,4959,5007, [NII]6548,6583, Hα and Hβ. For both objects, we took six science exposures of 600 s each, and dithered both in the spatial (by 0.2″) and spectral direction (by 100Å) in order to minimize the impact of bad pixels or cosmic rays and to cover the spectral gap of ~ 100 between the spectrographs. Seeing was $\sim 0.9''$, improving on the spatial resolution of MaNGA observations by a factor of ~ 3.

2.3 Data Reduction

The data reduction was performed using specific tasks developed for GMOS data in the gemini.gmos package as well as generic tasks in iraf. The reduction process comprised bias subtraction, flat-fielding, trimming, wavelength calibration, sky subtraction, relative flux calibration, building of the data cubes at a sampling of 0.1″×0.1″ and finally the alignment and combination of the data cubes.

2.4 Spectral Fitting

We develop a customized fitting procedure to extract the emission line fluxes and kinematics from the GMOS data. In every spatial element (spaxel) we subtract a linear continuum which we estimate from the average flux level red- and blue-ward of the emission lines to be fitted. In case of line multiplets or where emission lines lie very close in wavelength such as the [OIII] doublet at 5007, 4959 and H_β at 4861 we estimate the continuum flux level red- and blue-ward of such line complexes. We then use non-parametric measurements that do not strongly depend on a specific fitting procedure to determine amplitudes, centroid velocities and emission line widths. We follow the measurement strategy presented in e.g. Zakamska & Greene (2014) and Liu et al. (2013).

Briefly, each profile is first fitted with multiple Gaussian components to determine the overall velocity profiles. Despite the complexity of the velocity structure, most emission lines can be well fit with two or at most three Gaussian



Figure 1. MaNGA observations of the two sources analyzed in this paper, with example data products produced by the MaNGA analysis pipeline and used for target selection. In the left panels, we show the SDSS composite image of the Blob (top) and Cone (bottom) AGN. The purple region shows the coverage with the MaNGA fibre bundle, while the blue boxes shows the 17.5×17.5 arcsec region covered by the MaNGA maps (right panels). The [OIII] velocity dispersion maps reveal areas of high velocity dispersion gas (dark blue regions). The resolved [NII] BPT diagram which determines which regions are dominated by AGN/LINER, star formation (SF) or both (Comp.), show that both objects have AGN/LINER dominated regions (red) where the [OIII] velocity dispersion is highest, indicative of a galactic wind. The Cone Source (bottom) shows two conical high ionization regions, while the Blob Source's (top) high ionization regions are round. With Gemini, we 'zoom into' the central 3.5"×5" of these targets to trace the origin of the large-scale disturbed kinematics and to determine the power of AGN-driven winds

profiles. For subsequent analysis we use the fit that minimizes both the number of Gaussian components and χ^2 of the fit.

The cumulative flux as a function of velocity is then:

$$\Phi(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{v} F_v(v')dv' \quad (1)$$

such that the total line flux is given by $\Phi(\infty)$. For each spectrum, this definition is used to compute the line-of-sight velocity, represented by the median velocity v_{med}, the velocity that bisects the total area underneath the emission-line profile, so that $\Phi(v) = 0.5\ \Phi(\infty)$. Since stellar absorption lines are too faint in the GMOS spectra we adopt the spectroscopic redshifts from the NASA Sloan Atlas (NSA) catalogs that are based on the single-fibre measurements to correct the spectra to the rest-frame of the galaxy. We measure the line widths using the non-parametric measurement W_{80} which corresponds to the velocity width that encloses 80% of the total flux. For a purely Gaussian profile, W_{80} is closely related to the FWHM with $W_{80} = 1.088\times$ FWHM, but the non-parametric velocity width measurements are more sensitive to the weak broad bases of non-Gaussian emission line profiles (Liu et al. 2013). The kinematics (i.e. W_{80} and v_{med}) of different lines within a complex, such as [OIII]+Hβ or [NII]+Hα are assumed to be the same. Additionally, we fix the ratio [OIII]5007/[OIII]4959 and [NII]6583/[NII]6548 to their quantum values of 2.98 and 2.95, respectively. In Figure 2 we show an example fit to the Hα and [NII] emission lines in a central spaxel in the GMOS data cube of the Blob Source.

Hα and Hβ emission lines lie on top of stellar absorption features. To test the sensitivity of our line measurements to stellar continuum subtraction, we convert MaNGA spectra from vacuum to air wavelengths (Morton 1991) and then average stellar continuum contributions as measured by DAP over all spaxels to construct a high signal-to-noise host continuum. We subtract this scaled continuum from individual GMOS spectra, then perform an additional linear continuum subtraction to account for small spectrophotometric deviations of GMOS data and refit emission lines. We do not find any significant deviations in line fitting parameters and therefore base our analysis on the simple linear continuum fitting as described above. We show the resulting kinematic maps in Figures 3, 4, 5 and 6.



Figure 2. Example spectrum and fit to the Hα and [NII] lines in one of the spaxels of the Blob Source's GMOS data cube. All three lines were fitted with the same kinematic model with $W_{80} =$ 550 km/s. The lines are blue-shifted with respect to the rest-frame wavelength (shown by the red vertical bars) by -50 km/s.

3 IONIZED GAS MORPHOLOGIES AND KINEMATICS

The two AGN in this work were (amongst other criteria) selected based on the prevalence, morphologies and kinematics of the ionized gas emission. In both objects the high velocity dispersion region of the [OIII] ionized gas, the dark blue regions in Figure 1, are spatially coincident with the AGN/LINER-dominated spaxels in the resolved [NII]-BPT maps. The main advantage of the GMOS observations is that it allows us to resolve the inner few kiloparsecs of this AGN/LINER-dominated emission and probe their origin. In the following subsection, we investigate the kinematics and morphologies of the ionized gas using the better resolution GMOS data.

3.1 Blob Source

The MaNGA data of the Blob Source reveals a spherically symmetric region with a radius of ~ 3 arcsec of AGN/LINER-dominated emission (Figure 1). Since the [OIII] and H_β emission lines are not detected in the GMOS data, we use the Hα line to identify peculiarities in the gas velocity field. The MaNGA Hα velocity field shows ordered rotation of the gaseous disk of the host galaxy, which is the dominant effect even though the galaxy is viewed close to face-on (upper left panel in Figure 3). In Figure 3 (lower left panel) we also show the central region of the H_α gas velocity field as observed by GMOS. With the higher resolution data, we clearly see deviations from ordered rotation in the gas field near the nucleus.

To quantify these deviations, we first fit a rotational model to the MANGA stellar velocity field from which we obtain the kinematic center and the position of the kinematic major axis (for a description of the model, see Bertola et al. 1991). We then fit a rotational model to the MANGA and GMOS Hα velocity fields where the kinematic center and major axis are fixed parameters (as determined from the stellar velocity field). The resulting fits are shown in the middle panels in Figure 3 and the residuals (i.e. $H\alpha_{\rm measured}$-$H\alpha_{\rm model}$) in the right panels in Figure 3. While the fit to the MaNGA Hα velocity field describes the data very well with negligible residuals, the GMOS Hα residual maps shows a clear gradient in residual velocities with a redshifted Northern region and a blueshifted Southern region. These kind of signatures are indicative of a bipolar structure that is kinematically decoupled from the gas disk velocity field.

In Figure 4 we show the H_α velocity dispersion maps and [NII]/Hα maps as observed by both MaNGA and GMOS. In the GMOS map we find a region with $\sigma_{H\alpha}$ of $\sim 300-500$ km/s that is observed at the same position as the distinct biconical Hα component described above. The position angle of this structure is about -45 degrees and its spatial extent is ~ 3 kpc. High [NII]/Hα ratios are indicative of ionization through either shocks or AGN (see Section 4).

In wide-angle winds, the velocity dispersion usually reflects the typical bulk velocities of the gas, while the observed median velocities are more sensitive to projection and geometric orientation effects (see also Liu et al. 2013). Taking into account all the described evidence strongly suggests that the kinematically distinct Hα component is in fact a biconical outflow propagating at ~ 200 km/s that, because of its small size of ~ 3 kpc at its widest extent, had not been resolved in the lower spatial resolution MaNGA observations.

3.2 Cone Source

In contrast to the Blob Source, the MaNGA data for the Cone Source already showed a biconical morphology of fast $\sigma \sim 200$ km/s ionized gas as traced by the [OIII] emission line. By analogy to Figure 3, we show the Hα velocity field as measured by both MaNGA and GMOS in Figure 5. We follow the same strategy as described above and fit rotational models to the velocity fields that are shown in the middle panels in Figure 5 and compute the residuals (right panels in Figure 5). We identify prominent residuals of bipolar nature in the MaNGA residual map. The highly blue and redshifted sides ($\pm \sim 100$ km/s) in the residual maps additionally spatially coincide with regions of high velocity dispersion in the ionized gas, as shown in the right panels in Figure 6. Since the outflow is nearly in the plane of the sky, the actual physical velocities are likely much higher. Although the large-scale orientation of the cone-like structure and MaNGA residuals is perpendicular to the galaxy disk (roughly North-South), the gradient of residual velocities in the center of the galaxy is tilted with respect to the large-scale orientation (~ 45 degrees East of North), indicative of a warped outflow. The GMOS Hα residual map confirms this. Although no strong gradient is apparent there, the orientation of the highest blue-shifted residuals agrees with the central part of the MaNGA Hα residual map.

Figure 6 shows the [OIII] ionized gas velocity field and its velocity dispersion as probed by MaNGA and GMOS. We identify kinematic distortions in the MaNGA [OIII] velocity field in the iso-velocity curves. Such distortions imply non-circular motions are present which can have several origins. Possibilities include non-circular orbits in a bar, but also outflows can cause such distortions. [OIII] is only detected in two distinct regions of the GMOS map that show blue- and redshifted velocities, respectively. The velocity dispersion,



Figure 3. Hα velocity map as observed with MaNGA and with GMOS (left panels). The GMOS observations zoom into the central part of the Blob Source, which is indicated by the red box in the MaNGA maps. The middle panels show the rotational model to the Hα velocity fields and the right panels the residuals (data−model). While the model describes the MaNGA velocity field very well (residuals are negligible), the blue- and redshifted residuals from GMOS reveal the bipolar nature of a kinematically decoupled structure in the center of the source.



Figure 4. The kinematically decoupled structure that we show in Figure 3 spatially coincides with a region of high H_α velocity dispersion (left panels) and high [NII]/Hα ratios (right panels), an indication that this structure is either shock- or AGN-ionized and not due to nuclear star formation. The size of the structure is only about 2 kpc across, was not detected in the MaNGA data and is potentially a young or stalled outflow.

i.e. typical bulk velocity of the detected [OIII] regions in GMOS is ~ 200 km/s.

The dust lane clearly visible in the image of the galaxy suggests that dust extinction can play a major role in the apparent kinematics and geometry of ionized gas. We therefore investigate the effect of dust extinction through the galaxy on the morphology of outflow signatures and morphologies. The difference in dust reddening in different parts of the galaxy (i.e. high values in the plane of the disk, low values above and below the plane of the disk) could result in a seemingly biconical morphology of the high velocity dispersion [OIII] emission which is similar to the morphology of the AGN/LINER-dominated spaxels in the resolved [NII]-BPT diagram. This could be the case if the [OIII] emitting gas cloud was spherically symmetric but only illuminated along less obscured directions (i.e. above and below the plane of the disk). We therefore investigate if the morphology of the high velocity dispersion gas is truly biconical and if the lower [OIII] flux (and [OIII] velocity dispersions) along the plane of the disk can be explained by the higher reddening values in that part of the galaxy.

We estimate the amount of reddening A_V in the central part of the source using the Hα and Hβ emission lines from the MaNGA data. For case B recombination (Osterbrock 1989) and using extinction coefficients for the Galactic extinction curve from Cardelli et al. (1989), we find average reddening values of $A_V \sim 3$ mag in the central 2.5 kpc and $A_V \sim 3.5$ mag in the central pixels, while in the outer parts of the disk $A_V \sim 1.6$ mag. Reddening values above and below the plane of the disk range between $A_V \sim 0.3 - 1.2$ mag. If the [OIII] emitting gas had a spherically symmetric morphology, then the intrinsic (extinction corrected) [OIII] flux in the plane of the disk would be similar to the intrinsic [OIII] flux below and above the plane of the stellar disk. Therefore, the expected ratio between observed [OIII] fluxes would fulfill the following relation:

$$A([\mathrm{OIII}])_{\mathrm{non_disk}} - \mathrm{A}([\mathrm{OIII}])_{\mathrm{disk}} = -2.5 \times \log \frac{\mathrm{F}_{[\mathrm{OIII}],\mathrm{non_disk}}}{\mathrm{F}_{[\mathrm{OIII}],\mathrm{disk}}} \quad (2)$$

with $A([\mathrm{OIII}])_{\mathrm{non_disk}}$ and $A([\mathrm{OIII}])_{\mathrm{disk}}$ being the reddening values for [OIII] above and below the plane of the disk and in the plane of the disk, respectively. From the A(Hα) values in those regions and using the extinction curve from Cardelli et al. (1989), we find that $A([\mathrm{OIII}])_{\mathrm{non_disk}} \sim 0.9$ mag and $A([\mathrm{OIII}])_{\mathrm{disk}} \sim 1.8$ mag. The expected flux ratio between the observed [OIII] fluxes in those regions, $F_{[\mathrm{OIII}],\mathrm{non_disk}}$ and $F_{[\mathrm{OIII}],\mathrm{disk}}$, respectively, would therefore be ~ 2.3, whereas we observe flux ratios that are about 30% higher than the expected flux ratios. This means that the [OIII] flux in the disk regions is much lower than would be expected 'just' due to reddening. Lower [OIII] flux values (and lower [OIII] velocity dispersions) are therefore intrinsic and not only caused by higher extinction through the galactic disk confirming its cone-like morphology.

The morphology and velocity dispersion of the high velocity dispersion gas that is apparent in both the MaNGA and GMOS Hα and [OIII] maps (see Figure 5 and 6) suggests that we indeed observe a biconical outflow that has already expanded to galaxy-wide scales of ~ 8 kpc. This structure, however, seems to be warped in the center of the galaxy as revealed by the MaNGA Hα residual map and the GMOS [OIII] velocity map. We further discuss the connection between the small-scale GMOS observations with the larger-scale MaNGA observations in Section 4.1.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Nature of the outflows

We have shown that both sources presented in this paper host regions of high velocity dispersion that are distinct from the gas disk velocity field. They show a biconical morphology and kinematics are indicative of moderately fast outflows with speeds of ~ 200 km/s. In the case of the Blob Source these structures were not seen in the MaNGA maps before and are only revealed at the higher resolution of GMOS observations. The remaining question now regards the powering and nature of these outflows.

In Section 2.1, we have summarized the use and power of BPT diagrams. The [NII]-BPT which we used for initial target selection allows to characterize between star-formation, AGN+LINER, or composite dominated emission line regions. The BPT-[SII] further allows the distinction between AGN *or* LINER in addition to star-formation dominated emission line regions. LINER emission was long thought to be associated with weak AGN, but it has been shown that other ionization sources, such as ionization through hot evolved stars, can also be responsible for observed line ratios (Eracleous et al. 2010; Singh et al. 2013; Belfiore et al. 2016). These discussions were in part triggered through the fact that LINER-like emission is now increasingly often observed on much larger scales (several kpc) than expected from a weak central AGN. Therefore careful analysis is required when LINER-like emission line regions are observed and such sources cannot necessarily be associated with weak AGN. In addition to other criteria, we therefore also invoke the [SII]-BPT in our discussion about the nature and powering of the observed outflows in the Cone and Blob Source.

The Cone Source has been classified as bona-fide AGN using different selection criteria including X-ray observations. The resolved [SII]-BPT maps based on the MaNGA data show that the emission-line ratios in the cone-like structure are consistent with being ionized by an AGN. But while it is clear that the ionization itself is not due to star formation but due to an AGN, star formation can drive outflow activity and could be responsible for the observed velocity dispersion. Heckman et al. (2015) shows that gas velocities in starburst-driven outflows correlate with the overall star formation rate (SFR) in the galaxy. We therefore estimate the star formation in the Cone Source's host galaxy using the Hα emission line (Kennicutt 1998). We note that the Hα flux can be contaminated by the AGN in the galaxy and this estimate can therefore be regarded as an upper limit on the actual global SFR. We find SFR $< 1 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$/year for the Cone Source's host galaxy. At SFR that low, a starburst driven outflow is not expected to exceed velocities of ~ 50 km/s (Martin 2005; Heckman et al. 2015) but the outflow velocity in the biconical region reaches > 200 km/s (see [OIII] velocity dispersion maps in Figure 6) which by far exceeds the expected value due to star formation. Interesting to note is the already mentioned directional change of the outflow that occurs at a distance of $\sim 2''$ from the center of the Cone Source. This directional change also becomes apparent in the warped iso-velocity contours of ~ 0 km/s in the MaNGA [OIII] velocity field (Figure 6). The fact that we observe [OIII] in only two distinct regions in the GMOS field of view that are aligned almost perpendicular to the large-scale outflow direction further confirms this observation. Such a directional change in kinematics could, however, also be related to the presence of further kinematically distinct components in the host galaxy, such as a central bar. But the high [OIII] velocity dispersions (~ 200 km/s) in the center of the galaxy as probed with GMOS are unlikely to be explained by stellar processes. This suggests that the high velocity gas in the center of the galaxy and the outer parts of the galaxy are indeed kinematically and physically associated. The directional change of the outflow is possibly connected to the 'path of least resistance' through the host galaxy that outflows have been shown to prefer (Faucher-Giguère & Quataert 2012). Another possibility is that above and below the plane of the galaxy, where extinction is low, the outflow is propagating rapidly and is ionized out to far distances. In the disk, where extinction is higher, the outflow is slowed down and due to higher extinction values, we predominantly detect the blueshifted part of the outflow (Figure 6), leading to this seemingly directional change of the outflow. The observed line ratios further show that the dominant ionization source is an AGN. This analysis strongly suggests that the cone-like structure is indeed powered and driven by the AGN. Its biconical nature and velocity field reveal that it is a moderately fast outflow expanding parallel to the stellar disk on small scales and perpendicular to the stellar disk on large scales.

The situation in the Blob Source is more ambiguous. According to the resolved MaNGA [SII]-BPT diagram (Figure 7), the central 2 arcsec region of this source shows LINER-like line ratios. Belfiore et al. (2016) recently presented a census of LI(N)ER emission of all galaxies observed in MaNGA (as of April 2015). They chose the term LIER emission (leaving out the N for nuclear) since many galaxies show LIER dominated emission line regions on large, galaxy-wide scales, which they refer to as 'extended LIER', eLIER, galaxies. A similar fraction of about 30% of galaxies in MaNGA shows



Figure 5. Hα velocity map as observed with MaNGA and with GMOS (left panels). The GMOS observations zoom into the central part of the Cone Source, which is indicated by the red box in the MaNGA maps. The middle panels show the rotational model to the Hα velocity fields and the right panels the residuals (data−model). The MaNGA residual map reveals prominent residuals that are co-spatial with regions of high velocity ionized gas (see Figure 6). The outflow in the center of the galaxy seems warped and only blue-shifted residuals are apparent in the GMOS Hα residual map.



Figure 6. [OIII] velocity and velocity dispersion map of the Cone Source as observed with MaNGA and GMOS. The morphology and high velocity dispersion of the cone-like structure that is apparent in both the MaNGA and GMOS [OIII] maps suggest that this is indeed a biconical outflow that has already expanded to galaxy-wide scales of ~ 8 kpc.

LIER emission at small galactocentric radii which Belfiore et al. (2016) classify as 'central LIER' (cLIER) galaxies. The Blob Source in this work would thus be classified as a cLIER based on the morphology and extent of the LIER-like emission in the MaNGA maps. Belfiore et al. (2016) argue that post asymptotic giant branch (pAGB) stars can produce the required hard ionizing spectrum to power the emission in both cLIER and eLIER galaxies in MaNGA (Eracleous et al. 2010; Binette et al. 1994). Without the additional GMOS data that reveal that the central part of the galaxy is actually hosting a small-scale outflow, this source would have probably been classified as one of such cLIER galaxies in MaNGA. Our additional data from GMOS and kinematic analysis shows, however, that the pAGB scenario can not be easily adapted in this case.

The conclusion that the ionization properties in cLIER and eLIER galaxies in MaNGA are consistent with being due to ionization through pAGB stars (Belfiore et al. 2016) is mostly based on the observation of relatively low Hα equivalent widths, $0.5 < \mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{H}\alpha) < 3$, in LIER emission regions which can be well reproduced by stellar evolution models (Binette et al. 1994; Cid Fernandes et al. 2011). In AGN or star forming regions much higher EW(Hα) (> 3) would be expected. The mean Hα equivalent width in the central region with radius ~ 3.4 kpc of the Blob Source as measured from the MaNGA data is $\mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{H}\alpha) = 6.6 \pm 0.5$, much higher than would be expected for ionization through pAGB stars (Cid Fernandes et al. 2011). Belfiore et al. (2016) suggest that such high EW(Hα) in LIER regions, which in their sample often correspond to localized features (often with a bisymmetric morphology) can be due to the relative geometry of ionizing stellar regions and gas cloud absorbers. The GMOS data show that the central feature in the Blob Source is actually a bicone but in MaNGA the morphology of the feature in the Blob Source is smooth and round. This makes a direct comparison with the observations by Belfiore et al. (2016) challenging, but without the additional data from GMOS, we would conclude that a geometry-related



Figure 7. [NII] (upper row) and [SII] (lower low) BPT diagrams of the Blob source based on the MaNGA data. We show both the position of the line ratios in the log([OIII]/Hβ) vs. log([NII]/Hα) and log([OIII]/Hβ) vs. log([SII]6584/Hα) space (left panels) and the spatially resolved BPT diagrams, where we color code the spaxels according to their classification (right panels, the dividing lines are from Kewley et al. 2006). While the resolved [NII] BPT diagram shows that line ratios in the center of the Blob Source show elevated line ratios which could be due to AGN photo-ionization or LINER-like ionization, the resolved [SII] BPT diagram reveals that the line ratios in the center are LINER-like. Based on a number of arguments (see text) we show that the line ratios can best be explained by shocks created by as small-scale AGN-driven jets or outflows propagating through the gaseous stellar disk.

explanation is unlikely in this case. The biconical nature of this feature on much smaller scales than resolvable with MaNGA and high EW(Hα) values lead us to conclude that the most likely explanation for the LIER-like line ratios in the Blob Source are shocks. Shocks models can reproduce the observed EW(Hα) values and are often accompanied with an increase in gas velocity dispersion such as is observed in the Blob Source (Ho et al. 2014).

What is driving these shocks? Shocks can be associated with SF through jet and bipolar outflows in the early stages of low-mass star formation and through supernova feedback (Soto & Martin 2012; Bonnell et al. 2013). Such shocks can then accelerate particles producing synchrotron emission that can be detected at radio wavelengths. This is especially true in highly star-forming and merging galaxies such as ultra-luminous IR galaxies (ULIRGSs, Soto & Martin 2012; Soto et al. 2012). The Blob galaxy was detected within the Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty-Centimeters (FIRST, Becker et al. 1995) survey at 1.4 GHz as an unresolved source with $F_{1.4\mathrm{GHz}} = 2.6$ mJy. If the dominant source of the shocks in the Blob galaxy was SF, then SF is also expected to explain the amount of radio emission observed in this source. We compute the expected SFR due to radio emission using the correlation derived by Bell (2003)

$$\log L_{\mathrm{radio,SF}}[\mathrm{erg\ s^{-1}}] = 26.4687 + 1.1054 \log(\mathrm{L_{IR,SF}/L_{\odot}}) \quad (3)$$

which can be expressed in terms of the SFR (Kennicutt 1998) as

$$\log L_{\mathrm{radio,SF}}[\mathrm{erg\ s^{-1}}] = 37.2616 + 1.1054 \log(\mathrm{SFR}) \quad (4)$$

The radio luminosity of the Blob galaxy of $\nu L_{\nu}[1.4\mathrm{GHz}] = 4.6 \times 10^{38}$ erg/s implies a star formation rate of 19 $\mathrm{M_{\odot}/yr}$ whereas star formation rates estimated based on the Hα luminosity (Kennicutt 1998) or $\nu \mathrm{L}_{\nu}[24\mu\mathrm{m}]$ (Wu et al. 2005) range between SFR $= 1 - 3 \mathrm{M_{\odot}/yr}$. Both the H$\alpha$ and $\nu \mathrm{L}_{\nu}[24\mu\mathrm{m}]$ luminosities can be contaminated by AGN emission (if present) and can therefore be regarded as upper limits. This shows that the SFR in the Blob source is insufficient to explain the observed radio emission by almost an order of magnitude. Radio emission and shock signatures must therefore have another origin.

In radio-loud AGN, shocks can be the result of powerful relativistic jets that inflate over-pressured cocoons providing shock ionization (Croston et al. 2007). We therefore investigate if the radio emission in the Blob galaxy is indicative of a radio-loud AGN residing in the host. In addition to being detected in the FIRST survey, the Blob Source was also detected with the *Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer* (WISE) satellite that imaged the whole sky at mid-infrared (IR) wavelengths. In purely star-forming systems, the radio and IR emission are tightly correlated (Helou et al. 1985; Condon 1992; Bell 2003) and therefore one can use the ratio between observed fluxes at radio and IR wavelengths to identify radio-loud AGN in which the radio emission is not dominated by SF and show radio excess. We follow Bonzini et al. (2013) and use the parameter $q_{24\mu m} = \log(S_{24\mu\mathrm{m}}/S_{1.4\mathrm{GHz}})$ where $S_{24\mu\mathrm{m}}$ and $S_{1.4\mathrm{GHz}}$ are the observed fluxes at 24μm and 1.4 GHz. We power-law extrapolate the WISE fluxes at 12 and 22μm to estimate $S_{24\mu\mathrm{m}}$ and find $q \sim 0.5$. This puts the source right at the dividing line between radio-loud AGN and non-radio-loud AGN (i.e. radio-quiet AGN and star forming galaxies) (Bonzini et al. 2013). So while we observe a slight radio excess in terms of the $q_{24\mu m}$ parameter, this observation alone does not allow us not firmly infer that a small scale AGN-driven radio jet is driving the shocks.

Recently, Zakamska & Greene (2014) suggested that in radio-quiet AGN winds can be radiatively accelerated and drive shocks into the host galaxy as they propagate through the interstellar medium. They observe a statistically significant correlation between [OIII] line kinematics W_{90} and radio luminosity suggesting a common origin. Assuming that the efficiency of converting the kinetic energy of the outflow into radio synchrotron emission in such radiatively driven outflows is similar to starburst-driven winds (3.6×10^{-5}) they show that the radio emission in their sample of luminous type-2 AGN can indeed be explained in as a bi-product of AGN-driven winds. They derive efficiencies of a few percent for converting the bolometric AGN luminosity to the kinetic luminosity of the wind which is in agreement with previous estimates based on observations of spatially resolved winds (Liu et al. 2013). Applying the same wind to radio emission efficiency to the Blob source, we require $L_{\mathrm{wind}} = 1.3 \times 10^{43}$erg/s to reproduce the observed radio luminosity of $\nu L_{\nu}[1.4\mathrm{GHz}] = 4.6 \times 10^{38}$erg/s. If the Blob source indeed hosts an AGN, we can estimate the bolo-

metric AGN luminosity from $L_{[OIII]} = 2.5 \times 10^{40}$erg/s to be $L_{bol,AGN} \sim 7.1 \times 10^{43}$erg/s (Reyes et al. 2008). This would imply efficiencies of converting $L_{bol,AGN}$ to L_{wind} of $\sim 18\%$. This is a significantly higher efficiency than derived by Zakamska & Greene (2014). The $L_{bol,AGN}$-to-L_{wind}-efficiency in Zakamska & Greene (2014) was, however, derived for much more luminous quasars with high-velocity winds on galaxy-wide (tens of kpc) scale. In the outer parts of the galaxy, where gas densities are lower, lower efficiencies are naturally expected (Dugan 2016). Therefore, it might be possible that the average efficiency in larger-scale winds is lower than in young small-scale outflows in higher density environments. If an AGN resides in the center of the Blob galaxy, its bolometric luminosity estimated from $L_{[OIII]}$ is therefore sufficient to power the radio emission in the source which in turn can be associated with the shock signatures in the center of the galaxy. Furthermore, the observed radio luminosity for the Blob galaxy agrees with the radio luminosity that would be expected based on the correlation between W_{90} and νL_ν[1.4GHz] for luminous type-2 AGN (Zakamska & Greene 2014). This suggests that the kinematic signatures in this galaxy might be of the same origin as seen in more luminous AGN and are just the extension to younger and smaller outflows.

The GMOS observations reveal that the morphology of the inner region of the Blob source has a biconical structure with a well-defined velocity gradient indicative of an outflow (Figure 3). Based on the above derived arguments we conclude that the Blob source hosts an AGN. The observed ionized gas and radio emission can then be explained either by small-scale (1-2 kpc) jets that have not yet broken out of the galaxy or by radiatively-driven winds which produce shocks in the host galaxy as they propagate through the interstellar medium. We are likely observing the shocks created by the expanding hot bubble like it is seen in recent simulations of jets/outflows propagating through gaseous stellar disks (Dugan 2016). This also means, however, that the signatures in weak AGN with small-scale outflows can appear to be LINER-like and are not obviously visible as AGN photoionized regions. Only with combining MaNGA and GMOS were we able to detect the outflow signatures in the center of the source.

4.2 Additional impact of GMOS observations

In the previous subsections we have shown that we observe signatures of outflows that are driven by a central AGN in both the Blob and the Cone Source. While in the Cone Source, the biconical outflow signatures were already apparent in the MaNGA observations and were observed on galactic-wide scales, the outflow signatures in the Blob Source could only be resolved with the higher-resolution GMOS observations. We now investigate how the differences in outflow signatures (small-scale vs. large-scale) may relate to their host galaxy parameters.

Although $L_{[OIII]}$ can be affected by extinction, most likely from dust in the narrow-line region, [OIII] luminosities are a good indicator of total bolometric AGN luminosity (Reyes et al. 2008; LaMassa et al. 2010). These relations have been established using the single fibre SDSS spectra and we therefore measure [OIII] luminosities for the Cone and Blob source using the single fibre spectra for this part of the analysis. Interestingly we find a slightly smaller [OIII] luminosity in the Blob Source ($\log(L[OIII]/(\mathrm{erg\ s^{-1}})) \sim 40.4$), in which we suspect the smaller/younger outflow, than in the Cone Source ($\log(L[OIII]/(\mathrm{erg\ s^{-1}})) \sim 40.6$). The total bolometric luminosities of the Blob and Cone Source are therefore $\sim 10^{43-44}$ erg/s. Recent work (Veilleux et al. 2013; Zakamska & Greene 2014; Wylezalek & Zakamska 2016) suggests that there is a tight correlation between bolometric AGN luminosity and the signatures of feedback such as outflow velocities quantified by emission line width measurements. Such a correlation then implies that there is a minimum AGN luminosity above which an AGN can become powerful enough to launch winds that will affect the galaxy. This threshold luminosity has been estimated to be $L_{bol} \sim 10^{45}$ erg/s. In AGN with $10^{43} < L_{bol} < 10^{45}$ erg/s key AGN feeding and feedback processes are expected to occur within the inner kpc (Storchi-Bergmann et al. 2010; Barbosa et al. 2014; Lena et al. 2015). The two sources in this work are around or slightly below the expected threshold luminosity and interestingly we observe the more extended and more developed outflow signatures in the higher luminosity object, which is in line with expectations from these recent works. Additionally, the Cone host galaxy stellar mass is almost an order of magnitude smaller than the Blob Source's stellar mass ($1 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ for the Cone Source and $7.3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ for the Blob Source). Stellar masses have been estimated from $K-$correction fits to the optical photometric data from SDSS and are reported in the NSA Sloan Atlas catalog. This potentially points to the fact that the outflow in the Cone Source had to overcome a smaller gravitational potential facilitating expansion to larger galactic scales in comparison with the outflow in the Blob Source. In an upcoming Gemini GMOS program which will observe a larger sample of MaNGA-AGN with a similar observational setup, we will be investigating the correlation between outflow signatures, AGN luminosity and galactic potential on a larger statistical basis. Outflows and feedback from low and intermediate luminosity AGN can potentially have significant impact on their environment and contribute to AGN/host-galaxy self regulation (Crenshaw & Kraemer 2012).

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we combine IFU observations obtained within the SDSS-IV MaNGA survey with higher resolution, small field-of-view IFU observations obtained with the Gemini/GMOS instrument of two low-redshift AGN with luminosities about an order of magnitude below the traditional quasar cutoff. While the MaNGA observations show the surface brightness distribution and kinematics of gas and stars on galactic wide scales, the GMOS observations zoom into the center and resolve the inner few kpc of the galaxies.

Both sources have been selected from the MaNGA sample based on their very different ionized gas morphologies (cone-like vs. blob-like) and kinematics and on their high fraction of highly ionized regions as probed by resolved MaNGA [NII]-BPT diagrams. The goal of this work is to map circumnuclear gas flows on scales from $\lesssim$250 pc to $\sim$2 kpc in AGN whose host galaxies are mapped by MaNGA

and where we expect to detect the long-sought transition from circumnuclear to galaxy-wide AGN feedback.

Combining IFU observations with different resolutions and mapping different spatial scales we find the following in the Cone Source:

- The MaNGA maps of the Cone Source show a biconical region of high [OIII] velocity dispersion that is spatially coincident with AGN-dominated spaxels in both the MaNGA resolved [NII] and [SII] BPT diagrams.
- Modeling of MaNGA Hα velocity maps reveals an additional biconical kinematic component that is spatially coincident with the high [OIII] velocity dispersion region. However, the decoupled kinematic component is warped and changes direction at a distance of ~ 1 kpc from the center. Such a change in direction could have multiple origins, including the presence of a stellar bar in the center of the galaxy.
- The GMOS observations of the inner few kpc of the Cone Source reveal high velocity dispersion [OIII] emission that is detected in two regions in the GMOS field of view. The alignment is in agreement with the MaNGA observations and its high velocity dispersion makes a bar scenario unlikely.
- We suggest that this cone-like structure that shows a clear velocity gradient in the residual maps and emission line ratios consistent with being excited by an AGN represents a moderately fast outflow that has already propagated to galaxy-wide scales with a size of at least several kpc.

Revealing the nature of the Blob Source is more challenging due to the morphology and more ambiguous line ratios in the central region of the source. We find the following:

- The Blob Source shows a circular region with radius ~ 2.7 kpc of AGN/LINER-dominated spaxels in the resolved MaNGA [NII] BPT diagram that is spatially coincident with increased [OIII] and Hα velocity dispersion.
- Modeling the GMOS Hα velocity field in the inner 5 kpc of the Blob Source reveals a biconical structure in the residual map. This biconical structure is also spatially coincident with a cone-like structure of increased Hα velocity dispersion and [NII]/Hα line ratios.
- The resolved MaNGA [SII] diagram shows that the emission line ratios in the central part of the Blob Source are LI(N)ER-like. Due to high EW(Hα) values of ~ 6.5, we suggest that the most likely explanation for the LIER-like line ratios in the Blob Source are shocks.
- A multi-wavelength analysis involving estimates of (kinetic) energies of the shock and SF- and AGN-driven winds, we conclude that the structure represents a small or stalled outflow or jet powered by an AGN which is driving the shocks. This conclusion is backed by the GMOS observations which resolve the biconical nature of that region with a well-defined velocity gradient in the outflow.

We conclude that we have detected AGN-driven outflows in both targets. In the Cone Source, the higher-$L_{\rm bol}$, lower stellar mass object, we are indeed tracing the origin and coupling of the wind to the large-scale biconical outflow and resolve the outflow direction with GMOS. But the MaNGA maps reveal how far the outflow reaches and its large scale propagation direction. In the Blob Source, the lower-$L_{\rm bol}$, higher stellar mass object, the data enabled us to discover a young or stalled biconical outflow where none was obvious at the MaNGA resolution. But the spectral range and depth of the MaNGA spectra pointed us towards further investigating the nature of the blob-like distinct structure in the center of the galaxy. With MaNGA alone, this source would have been classified as a regular (c)LINER galaxy but with the additional kinematic information from GMOS that led to a careful multi-wavelength analysis were we able to investigate the nature of this source.

These observations show that combining large scale IFU data with higher resolution, small scale IFU maps are necessary when exploring how wind launching and propagation are related to AGN luminosity and galaxy potential. Small young or stalled outflows might have been missed in previous observations due to resolution-limited observations. In a forthcoming paper we will explore the relation between outflow size, ionization mechanisms, AGN luminosity and galaxy potential with a larger set of AGN that will have been observed by both MaNGA and GMOS. Outflows and feedback from low-luminosity AGN might potentially contribute significantly to feedback processes in the galaxy. Finding hidden and small-scale outflow is therefore crucial for further understanding AGN/host galaxy self-regulation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

D.W. acknowledges support by the Akbari-Mack Postdoctoral Fellowship and the JHU Provost's Postdoctoral Diversity Fellowship. R.A.R. acknowledges support from FAPERGS (project N0. 2366-2551/14-0) and CNPq (project N0. 470090/2013-8 and 302683/2013. G.L. is supported by the National Thousand Young Talents Program of China, and acknowledges the grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11673020 and No. 11421303) and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (No. 2016YFA0400704).

Funding for the Sloan Digital Sky Survey IV has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, and the Participating Institutions. SDSS-IV acknowledges support and resources from the Center for High-Performance Computing at the University of Utah. The SDSS web site is www.sdss.org.

SDSS-IV is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions of the SDSS Collaboration including the Brazilian Participation Group, the Carnegie Institution for Science, Carnegie Mellon University, the Chilean Participation Group, the French Participation Group, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, The Johns Hopkins University, Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (IPMU) / University of Tokyo, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Leibniz Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP), Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie (MPIA Heidelberg), Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik (MPA Garching), Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik (MPE), National Astronomical Observatory of China, New Mexico State University, New York University, University of Notre Dame, Observatário Nacional / MCTI, The Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, Shanghai Astronomical Observatory,

United Kingdom Participation Group, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, University of Arizona, University of Colorado Boulder, University of Oxford, University of Portsmouth, University of Utah, University of Virginia, University of Washington, University of Wisconsin, Vanderbilt University, and Yale University.

REFERENCES

Baldwin J. A., Phillips M. M., Terlevich R., 1981, PASP, 93, 5
Barbosa F. K. B., Storchi-Bergmann T., Cid Fernandes R., Winge C., Schmitt H., 2006, MNRAS, 371, 170
Barbosa F. K. B., Storchi-Bergmann T., Cid Fernandes R., Winge C., Schmitt H., 2009, MNRAS, 396, 2
Barbosa F. K. B., Storchi-Bergmann T., McGregor P., Vale T. B., Rogemar Riffel A., 2014, MNRAS, 445, 2353
Becker R. H., White R. L., Helfand D. J., 1995, ApJ, 450, 559
Belfiore F., et al., 2016, MNRAS, 461, 3111
Bell E. F., 2003, ApJ, 586, 794
Bertola F., Bettoni D., Danziger J., Sadler E., Sparke L., de Zeeuw T., 1991, ApJ, 373, 369
Binette L., Magris C. G., Stasińska G., Bruzual A. G., 1994, A&A, 292, 13
Blanton M. R., 2017, in prep.
Bonnell I. A., Dobbs C. L., Smith R. J., 2013, MNRAS, 430, 1790
Bonzini M., Padovani P., Mainieri V., Kellermann K. I., Miller N., Rosati P., Tozzi P., Vattakunnel S., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 3759
Brusa M., et al., 2015, A&A, 578, A11
Bundy K., et al., 2015, ApJ, 798, 7
Cardelli J. A., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, ApJ, 345, 245
Cid Fernandes R., Stasińska G., Mateus A., Vale Asari N., 2011, MNRAS, 413, 1687
Condon J. J., 1992, ARA&A, 30, 575
Crenshaw D. M., Kraemer S. B., 2012, ApJ, 753, 75
Croston J. H., Kraft R. P., Hardcastle M. J., 2007, ApJ, 660, 191
Drory N., et al., 2015, AJ, 149, 77
Dugan Z., 2016, in prep.
Eracleous M., Hwang J. A., Flohic H. M. L. G., 2010, ApJ, 711, 796
Faucher-Giguère C.-A., Quataert E., 2012, MNRAS, 425, 605
Ferrarese L., Ford H., 2005, Space Sci. Rev., 116, 523
Gunn J. E., et al., 2006, AJ, 131, 2332
Heckman T. M., 1980, A&A, 87, 152
Heckman T. M., Alexandroff R. M., Borthakur S., Overzier R., Leitherer C., 2015, ApJ, 809, 147
Helou G., Soifer B. T., Rowan-Robinson M., 1985, ApJ, 298, L7
Ho L. C., 2008, ARA&A, 46, 475
Ho I.-T., et al., 2014, MNRAS, 444, 3894
Hopkins P. F., 2012, MNRAS, 420, L8
Kauffmann G., et al., 2003, MNRAS, 346, 1055
Kennicutt Jr. R. C., 1998, ApJ, 498, 541
Kewley L. J., Dopita M. A., Sutherland R. S., Heisler C. A., Trevena J., 2001, ApJ, 556, 121
Kewley L. J., Groves B., Kauffmann G., Heckman T., 2006, MNRAS, 372, 961
Kormendy J., Ho L. C., 2013, ARA&A, 51, 511
LaMassa S. M., Heckman T. M., Ptak A., Martins L., Wild V., Sonnentrucker P., 2010, ApJ, 720, 786
Law David; Andrews B. B. M., 2016, in prep.
Law D. R., et al., 2015, AJ, 150, 19
Lena D., et al., 2015, ApJ, 806, 84
Liu G., Zakamska N. L., Greene J. E., Nesvadba N. P. H., Liu X., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 2576
Martin C. L., 2005, ApJ, 621, 227
Morton D. C., 1991, ApJS, 77, 119
Osterbrock D. E., 1989, Astrophysics of gaseous nebulae and active galactic nuclei
Reyes R., et al., 2008, AJ, 136, 2373
Riffel R. A., Storchi-Bergmann T., 2011, MNRAS, 417, 2752
Singh R., et al., 2013, A&A, 558, A43
Smee S. A., et al., 2013, AJ, 146, 32
Somerville R. S., Hopkins P. F., Cox T. J., Robertson B. E., Hernquist L., 2008, MNRAS, 391, 481
Soto K. T., Martin C. L., 2012, ApJS, 203, 3
Soto K. T., Martin C. L., Prescott M. K. M., Armus L., 2012, ApJ, 757, 86
Storchi-Bergmann T., McGregor P. J., Riffel R. A., Simões Lopes R., Beck T., Dopita M., 2009, MNRAS, 394, 1148
Storchi-Bergmann T., Lopes R. D. S., McGregor P. J., Riffel R. A., Beck T., Martini P., 2010, MNRAS, 402, 819
Veilleux S., Osterbrock D. E., 1987, ApJS, 63, 295
Veilleux S., et al., 2013, ApJ, 776, 27
Westfall K. B., 2017, in prep.
Wu H., Cao C., Hao C.-N., Liu F.-S., Wang J.-L., Xia X.-Y., Deng Z.-G., Young C. K.-S., 2005, ApJ, 632, L79
Wylezalek D., 2017, in prep.
Wylezalek D., Zakamska N. L., 2016, MNRAS, 461, 3724
Yan R., et al., 2016, preprint, (arXiv:1607.08613)
Zakamska N. L., Greene J. E., 2014, MNRAS, 442, 784
Zakamska N. L., et al., 2016, MNRAS, 455, 4191
Zubovas K., King A. R., 2012, MNRAS, 426, 2751

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.